




Analysis on the Impact of Industry 4.0 on Academic Entrepreneurship and Traditional Performance: Past, Present and Future Trends

* Mohsen Mohammadi Khiareh 

* Associate Professor, Economics, economics and administrative department, Gonbad Kavous University, Gonbad Kavous Iran. m.mohamadi@gonbad.ac.ir

Received: 06.01.2024

Accepted: 06.05.2024

Abstract

This research explores the multifaceted relationship between Industry 4.0 and academic entrepreneurship. It examines the historical context, current trends, and anticipated future trajectories of this dynamic interaction. By analyzing empirical evidence and established theoretical frameworks, the study investigates the transformative effects of Industry 4.0 technologies (artificial intelligence, block chain, Internet of Things) on universities. The research highlights the evolving nature of academic performance metrics, shifting from solely focusing on publications to encompassing entrepreneurial endeavors, knowledge transfer, and societal impact. It acknowledges the challenges and opportunities presented by this integration, including faculty resistance, interdisciplinary complexities, and intellectual property concerns. The study concludes by identifying key enablers, such as visionary leadership, strong industry partnerships, and curriculum flexibility, which can foster a thriving ecosystem for academic entrepreneurship in the age of Industry 4.0.

Keywords: Industry 4.0, Academic Entrepreneurship, Technological Evolution, Cooperation.

JEL Classification: I23; O30

Corresponding Author: Mohsen Mohammadi Khiareh- M.mohamadi@gonbad.ac.ir



1. Introduction

The 21st century has witnessed a technological revolution surpassing its predecessors – Industry 4.0. This paradigm shift, characterized by the convergence of digital technologies, data analysis, and advanced manufacturing, necessitates a reevaluation of the role of academic institutions [1]. Traditionally focused on knowledge creation and dissemination, universities now find themselves at the nexus of knowledge production and economic development. Academic entrepreneurship emerges as a crucial driver of innovation, fostering technology transfer, spin-off ventures, and collaborative research with industry partners. This integration is not merely about incorporating entrepreneurial principles; it is a two-way interaction where entrepreneurial spirit shapes academic activities and vice versa [2]. Understanding this dynamic requires a comprehensive analysis, tracing historical trajectories, theoretical frameworks, and future directions that will redefine academic entrepreneurship and traditional performance metrics.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Definition and Characteristics of Academic Entrepreneurship

Academic entrepreneurship, a burgeoning concept in higher education discourse, signifies the transformation of universities beyond the confines of traditional knowledge creation [3]. It is characterized by active engagement with industry, technology transfer pursuits, and the commercialization of intellectual property [5]. However, its significance extends beyond mere income generation. It fosters a dynamic and innovative environment within academic institutions [6]. Academic entrepreneurship serves as a catalyst for innovation, economic development, and knowledge dissemination, bridging the gap between theory and practice [4].

2.2 Traditional Performance Criteria in Academic Institutions

To understand the evolving landscape of academic entrepreneurship, a retrospective examination of traditional performance measures is necessary. Historically, academic success was primarily measured by faculty publications, student enrollment, and research grants [7]. However, criticisms have emerged, highlighting the inadequacy of these metrics in capturing the overall impact of universities. While the h-index reflects individual faculty participation, it emphasizes quantitative aspects [8]. As the academic landscape evolves, key performance indicators (KPIs) now encompass a wider range of factors, including teaching quality, knowledge transfer, and community engagement [9].

2.3 Industry 4.0

Understanding the core technologies and concepts driving Industry 4.0 is crucial for its intersection with academic entrepreneurship. This paradigm, pioneered by Germany, integrates digital technologies, data analysis, and the Internet of Things (IoT) [10]. Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), Industrial IoT, Cloud Computing, and Cognitive Computing form the foundation of Industry 4.0 [11]. These technologies have far-reaching implications, influencing universities in diverse ways. For example, universities can leverage the Internet of Things to optimize resource allocation and decision-making processes [12]. Industry 4.0 also reshapes traditional business models, presenting both challenges and opportunities for academic entrepreneurship [13].

2.4 Industry 4.0 Integration in Academic Entrepreneurship

Industry 4.0 integration in academic entrepreneurship is not merely theoretical. Real-world case studies like MIT's innovative use of Industry 4.0 technologies to strengthen academic entrepreneurship serve as valuable insights [14]. Similarly, the University of Sydney's adoption of



smart campus solutions exemplifies how Industry 4.0 principles can optimize resource allocation, enhance collaboration, and accelerate entrepreneurial initiatives [15].

3. The Theoretical Framework of the Research

3.1 Conceptualization of Industry 4.0 and Academic Entrepreneurship

The theoretical framework explores the tension and symbiotic relationship between Industry 4.0 and academic entrepreneurship. The quadruple helix model emphasizes the joint participation of universities, industry, government, and civil society, with Industry 4.0 acting as a catalyst for innovation and entrepreneurship in academic institutions [16]. Similarly, the triple helix model underscores the interrelationship between academia, industry, and government, suggesting that their collaboration fosters innovation.

3.2 Challenges and Opportunities

While the integration of Industry 4.0 presents exciting opportunities for academic entrepreneurship, it is not without its challenges.

- **Faculty Resistance:** Some faculty members may resist change, expressing concerns about commercialization or a perceived decline in academic rigor [17].
- **Interdisciplinary Complexities:** Industry 4.0 often demands collaboration across diverse disciplines. Universities need to foster interdisciplinary research environments that break down traditional departmental silos [18].
- **Intellectual Property Issues:** Ownership of intellectual property generated through collaboration between academia and industry necessitates clear legal frameworks to ensure fair distribution and commercialization rights [19].

4. Key Enablers for a Thriving Ecosystem

Despite the challenges, proactive strategies can foster a thriving ecosystem for academic entrepreneurship in the Industry 4.0 era.

- **Visionary Leadership:** Strong and visionary leadership from university administrators is crucial for promoting a culture of innovation and entrepreneurship [20].
- **Industry Partnerships:** Building strong partnerships with industry players facilitates knowledge transfer, co-creation of innovative solutions, and access to resources for academic startups [21].
- **Curriculum Flexibility:** Traditional curriculum structures may need to adapt to accommodate emerging technologies and foster crucial skills for Industry 4.0 entrepreneurship, such as data analysis, design thinking, and problem-solving [22].
- **Infrastructure and Resources:** Investing in infrastructure, such as innovation hubs, co-working spaces, and maker labs, provides essential resources for budding academic entrepreneurs [23].

5. Future Trends and Concluding Remarks

The future of academic entrepreneurship in the face of Industry 4.0 is characterized by exciting possibilities.

- **Focus on Societal Impact:** Universities will likely prioritize research with a clear societal impact, addressing global challenges like climate change and resource depletion through entrepreneurial ventures [24].
- **Rise of Ed-Tech:** Educational technology (Ed-Tech) solutions will play a vital role in enhancing curriculum flexibility and providing online platforms for collaborative learning and entrepreneurial skill development [25].



- **Evolving Metrics for Success:** KPIs for academic performance will continue to evolve, encompassing measures of knowledge transfer, industry collaboration, and societal impact in addition to traditional publication metrics [26].

In conclusion, Industry 4.0 presents a transformative opportunity for academic entrepreneurship. By embracing its core technologies, fostering collaborative partnerships, and adapting to changing metrics, universities can position themselves as leaders in driving innovation and fostering a new generation of Industry 4.0 entrepreneurs.

6. Policy Recommendations

To fully realize the potential of Industry 4.0 for academic entrepreneurship, policymakers can play a critical role by enacting supportive measures.

- **Funding for Collaborative Research:** Increased funding for collaborative research projects between universities and industry partners can incentivize knowledge exchange and co-creation of innovative solutions [27].

- **Tax Incentives for Startups:** Implementing tax relief programs or other financial incentives can encourage the creation and growth of academic spin-off ventures emerging from Industry 4.0 research [28].

- **Skilling the Workforce:** Policymakers can collaborate with universities and industry to develop comprehensive skilling programs that equip graduates with the necessary technical and entrepreneurial skills for the Industry 4.0 job market [29].

7. Limitations and Future Research Directions

This research offers a broad overview of the intersection between Industry 4.0 and academic entrepreneurship. However, some limitations are worth acknowledging.

- **Focus on Specific Technologies:** The research primarily focused on the impact of broad technological categories like AI and IoT. Future studies could delve deeper into the specific applications of these technologies within different academic disciplines.

- **Global Context and Variations:** The analysis primarily considered developed economies. Further research could explore the nuances of Industry 4.0's impact on academic entrepreneurship in developing countries.

Future research avenues could explore:

- **Case Studies:** In-depth case studies of successful academic entrepreneurship endeavors in the Industry 4.0 era could provide valuable insights and best practices.

- **Longitudinal Studies:** Longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of university policies and support structures for academic entrepreneurship in the face of Industry 4.0 would offer a valuable perspective on institutional transformation.

By addressing these limitations and pursuing further research, a comprehensive understanding of Industry 4.0's transformative potential for academic entrepreneurship can be established, paving the way for a future where universities are not just centers of knowledge creation but also engines of innovation and societal progress.

References

1. Schwab, K. The fourth industrial revolution. 2017. Currency.
2. Adelowo, C. M., & Surujlal, J. Academic entrepreneurship and traditional academic performance at universities: Evidence from a developing country. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 2020. 22(1): 9-25



3. Limoges, C., Scott, P., Schwartzman, S., Nowotny, H., & Gibbons, M. The new production of knowledge: The dynamics of science and research in contemporary societies. *The New Production of Knowledge*, 1994. P.1-192.
4. Bibri, S. E., & Krogstie, J. Smart sustainable cities of the future: An extensive interdisciplinary literature review. *Sustainable cities and society* 2017. 183- 212, 31.
5. Clark, B. R. Creating entrepreneurial universities: organizational pathways of transformation. *Issues in Higher Education*. Elsevier Science Regional Sales, 665 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010. 1998. (Paperback: ISBN-0-08-0433545; hardcover: ISBN-0-08-0433421, \$ 27).
6. Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of management review*. 2000. 25(1): p.217-226.
7. Altbach, P. G., & Salmi, J. (Eds.). *The road to academic excellence: The making of world-class research universities*. World Bank Publications. 2011.
8. Hirsch, J. E. An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *Proceedings of the National academy of Sciences*. 2005. 102(46): p.16569-16572.
9. Bonte-Friedheim, C., & Sheridan, K. *The Globalization of Science: The Place of Agricultural Research*. New, expanded edition. The Hague: International Service for National Agricultural Research. 1997.
10. Pique, J. M., Berbegal-Mirabent, J., & Etzkowitz, H. Triple Helix and the evolution of ecosystems of innovation: the case of Silicon Valley. *Triple Helix*. 2018. 5(1): 1-21.
11. Kagermann, H., Wahlster, W. and Helbig, J. *Securing the Future of German Manufacturing Industry: Recommendations for Implementing the Strategic Initiative Industrie 4.0*. Final Report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group, Acatech— National Academy of Science and Engineering. 2013. 678 p.
12. I-scoop. *Industry 4.0: the fourth industrial revolution—guide to Industrie 4.0*. 2018.
13. Lanteri, A. Strategic drivers for the fourth industrial revolution. *Thunderbird International Business Review*. 2021. 63(3): p.273-283.
14. Tiwari, S. P. *The Impact of New Technologies on Society: A Blueprint for the Future*. Scholarly Publisher RS Global Sp. z OO. 2022.
15. Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. *Smart Universities: Concepts, Systems, and Technologies*. *EDUCAUSE Review*. 2019.
16. Carayannis, E. G., Campbell, D. F., Carayannis, E. G., & Campbell, D. F. Mode 3 knowledge production in quadruple helix innovation systems: Twenty-first-century democracy, innovation, and entrepreneurship for development. 2012. p. 1-63. Springer New York.
17. Lundvall, B. Å. *National innovation systems and globalization. The learning economy and the economics of hope*. 2016. P.351.
18. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic management journal*. 1997. 18(7): p.509-533.
19. Adelowo, C. M., & Surujlal, J. Academic entrepreneurship and traditional academic performance at universities: Evidence from a developing country. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*. 2020. 22(1): p.9-25.
20. Nhleko, Y., & van der Westhuizen, T. The role of higher education institutions in introducing entrepreneurship education to meet the demands of Industry 4.0. *Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal*. 2022. 28(1): 1-23.
21. Attiany, M., Al-kharabsheh, S., Abed-Qader, M., Al-Hawary, S., Mohammad, A., & Rahamneh, A. Barriers to adopt industry 4.0 in supply chains using interpretive structural modeling. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management* 2023. 299-306, (1)11.



22. Bettiol, M., Capestro, M., Di Maria, E., & Ganau, R. Is this time different? How Industry 4.0 affects firms' labor productivity. *Small Business Economics*. 2023. 1-19.
23. Miranda, J., Navarrete, C., Noguez, J., Molina-Espinosa, J. M., Ramírez-Montoya, M. S., Navarro-Tuch, S. A., & Molina, A. The core components of education 4.0 in higher education: Three case studies in engineering education. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*. 2021. 93: 107278.
24. Carayannis, E. G., Grigoroudis, E., Campbell, D. F., Meissner, D., & Stamati, D. The ecosystem as helix: an exploratory theory-building study of regional co-opetitive entrepreneurial ecosystems as quadruple/quintuple helix innovation models. *R&d Management*. 2018. 48(1): 148-162.
25. Mkwanzazi, S., & Mbohwa, C. implications of the 4th industrial revolution on entrepreneurship education. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management Washington DC, USA*. (2018, September). (pp. 318-328).
26. Park, S. C. The Fourth Industrial Revolution and implications for innovative cluster policies. *Ai & Society*. 2018. 33: p. 433-445.
27. Halili, S. H., Sulaiman, S., Sulaiman, H., & Razak, R. Embracing industrial revolution 4.0 in universities. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. (2021, February). 1088(1): p. 012111. IOP Publishing.
28. Cai, Y., & Etzkowitz, H. Theorizing the Triple Helix model: Past, present, and future. *Triple Helix*. 2020. 7(2-3): 189-226.
29. Ghobakhloo, M. The future of manufacturing industry: a strategic roadmap toward Industry 4.0. *Journal of manufacturing technology management*. 2018. 29(6): p.910-936.



